

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION FOR ADJUSTMENT OF)	
ELECTRIC RATES OF KENTUCKY POWER)	CASE NO. 91-066
COMPANY)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that the Kentucky Cable Television Association ("KCTA") shall file the original and 12 copies of the following information with the Commission by August 26, 1991, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. Each sheet should be appropriately indexed as needed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible.

1. The answer beginning on line 16, page 5 of Stuart McDaniel's testimony, addresses Kentucky Power Company's ("Kentucky Power") tariff filing of October 1982.

a. Clarify if the reference to McDaniel Exhibit 4, page 4, is page 4 of the carrying charge worksheets at line 13(d).

b. Explain to which page the next reference, Id., page 1, relates and show which are "the same amounts used by Kentucky

Power in calculating the weighted average bare pole costs in the investment side of the rate calculations."

2. The Commission's Order of September 17, 1982 in Administrative Case No. 251¹ determined that the methodology for computing rates for pole attachments should include "the embedded cost of an average bare pole of the utility" Is it KCTA's position that with this language, the Commission did not require either a gross or net calculation but gave the utilities the option to use either a gross or net calculation?

3. Per the answer beginning on line 14, page 7 of Mr. McDaniel's testimony, specifically the sentence beginning on line 21 and finishing on line 1 of page 8:

a. Is it KCTA's position that Kentucky Power should clear brush and vegetation so that cable operators can avoid the need to do their own clearing?

b. Is it KCTA's position that if Kentucky Power committed to clearing brush and vegetation to allow cable operators to avoid the need for their own clearing, that Kentucky Power's proposed allocation of overhead maintenance expenses to poles would be justified?

¹ Administrative Case No. 251, The Adoption of a Standard Methodology for Establishing Rates for CATV Pole Attachments.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 12th day of August, 1991.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director